

**Final Environmental Assessment
Title Transfer of a Federally-Owned
Water Distribution System to the
Montecito Water District**

**United States Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation
South-Central California Area Office
1243 N Street
Fresno, California 93721-1813
(559) 487-5127**

July 6, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1.0 PURPOSE, NEED, BACKGROUND, PRIMARY BENEFICIARIES AND RELATED ACTIVITIES.....	1
1.1 Purpose.....	1
1.2 Need	1
1.3 Background.....	1
1.4 Primary Beneficiaries and Related Activities.....	2
2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	2
2.1 Proposed Action.....	2
2.2 No Action Alternative.....	2
2.3 Alternative Considered but Dismissed.....	5
3.0 PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT AREA	3
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	6
4.1 Air Quality	7
4.2 Water Quality/Flooding.....	8
4.3 Public Facilities/Solid Waste Management.....	10
4.4 Land Use.....	11
4.5 Transportation/Circulation	12
4.6 Natural Environment.....	13
4.7 Environmental Justice and Human Population	15
4.8 Construction.....	16
4.9 Energy Impacts	16
4.10 Noise Abatement and Control	17
4.11 Explosive Flammable Operations.....	18
4.12 Toxic, Chemical, and Radioactive Materials	19
4.13 Geologic Processes	20
4.14 Cultural Resources - Archaeological and Historic Resources.....	21
4.15 Ethnic Resources	23
4.16 Fire Protection.....	24
4.17 Recreation.....	25
4.18 Aesthetic/Visual Resources.....	26
4.19 Housing.....	27
5.0 INFORMATION SOURCES	28
5.1 Agencies and Individuals Consulted.....	28
5.2 Bibliography	28
5.3 Other Sources.....	28
6.0 PROJECT SPECIFIC AND CUMULATIVE IMPACT SUMMARY	28
7.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT:.....	29
8.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT.....	29
9.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE FISH AND WILDLIFE COORDINATION ACT.....	29

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

10.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE INDIAN TRUST ASSETS30
12.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT30
12.0 MITIGATION MEASURES30
13.0 LIST OF PREPARERS30

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1 Service Distribution System.....	3

Appendix

Comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment

California Department of Fish and Game Natural Diversity Data Base
Search Results for the Carpinteria Quad

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

**TITLE TRANSFER OF A FEDERALLY-OWNED
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM TO THE
MONTECITO WATER DISTRICT**

1.0 PURPOSE, NEED, BACKGROUND, PRIMARY BENEFICIARIES AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

1.1 PURPOSE

The proposed project is a transfer of ownership of an existing water distribution system from the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Bureau) to the Montecito Water District (MWD). This service infrastructure is already located within MWD boundaries and is currently operated and maintained by the MWD. The Bureau-owned distribution system includes 2-10-inch diameter pipelines and appurtenant infrastructure (e.g., valves, end drains, etc.), located throughout the Summerland area within the MWD's current boundaries and the Asegra Pumping Plant (see Figure 1). There are approximately 10 miles of functioning pipelines and less than one mile of abandoned/inactive pipeline comprising the system. The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of the distribution system and does not include any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure. The transfer includes rights-of-way, easements, and fences appurtenant to the distribution system. One parcel that is currently owned by the Bureau is also being proposed for transfer to the District. This parcel is the site of the Asegra Pumping Plant. The Assessor's Parcel Number is (APN) 005-0-002 and its size is less than one acre. This parcel is located in the northeastern quadrant of the system to be transferred. Additionally, an easement for the Ortega Ridge Pumping Station would also be transferred. The area in question is less than one acre as part of the parcel on which the Ortega Reservoir is located (APN 005-030-001).

1.2 NEED

The Bureau proposes to transfer title of the federally owned water distribution system located in the MWD boundary to the MWD. Since the distribution system is not of national importance and may be efficiently and effectively managed by the MWD, Bureau needs to divest itself of title to the service facilities.

1.3 BACKGROUND

The Reclamation Program was founded in 1902. Its original mission was one of civil works construction to develop the water resources of the arid western United States to promote the settlement and economic development of that region. Many of these projects were constructed at a time when there were no local communities and utilities. Today much of the West is settled. The Bureau owns and operates public utility facilities, which, if located in other parts of the country, would likely be owned, operated, and funded by publicly regulated private

corporations or local government agencies. While it has been Bureau policy for decades to transfer operation and maintenance of projects to local entities where and when appropriate, interest in the actual transfer of title (with its attendant responsibilities) is now growing.

As part of the second phase of the National Performance Review (REGO II), the Bureau is undertaking a program to transfer title of facilities that are not identified as having national importance. This effort is recognition of the Bureau's commitment to a federal government that works better and costs less. The transfer of title will divest the Bureau of the responsibility for the operation, maintenance, management, regulation of and liability for the project. The transfer of title to a project will, in effect, sever ties with that project.

1.4 PRIMARY BENEFICIARIES AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

The primary beneficiaries of the proposed project are the MWD and the Bureau. The MWD will benefit by reducing costs, time, and paperwork associated with consultation with the Bureau, regarding operation and maintenance of the service distribution system. The Bureau will also benefit by reducing costs, time, and paperwork associated with overseeing the operation and maintenance of the service distribution system and by eliminating the requirement of inspecting the system once every three years.


In order for the proposed project described herein to be implemented, the NEPA process must be completed, and the project approved by both the Bureau and MWD. Additionally, the U.S. Congress will need to authorize approval of the project.

2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

2.1 PROPOSED ACTION

The Bureau and the MWD propose to enter into an agreement that authorizes the Secretary of Interior to transfer title of the federally owned water distribution facilities located within the MWD boundaries following the approval of the Agreement by the Congress. The transfer of title would occur within 180 days after Congressional Approval.

Location of the facilities are shown on the map in Figure 1 and consist of six (6) miles of 2-10-inch diameter pipelines, valves and end drains, one (1) mile of abandoned pipeline, rights-of-way, easements and fences appurtenant to the distribution system; and one parcel, one (1) acre in size, with the Asegra Pumping Site, Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 005-0-002. Additionally, a one (1) acre easement for the Ortega Ridge Pumping Station, (APN 005-030-001) would be transferred. The transfer of title will divest the Bureau of responsibility for O&M, management, and regulation of the transferred facilities. The MWD currently performs those responsibilities on behalf of the United States, pursuant to the terms of its existing repayment agreement. The proposed action is a transfer of title only and does not include physical changes to the facilities or changes in the purpose, operation, or capacity of the original distribution facilities. Title would be transferred by quitclaim deed. The quitclaim deed would not include transfer of any water or water rights.



SERVICE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM
FIGURE 1

2.2 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE

Under the No Action Alternative, the Secretary or his designee would not transfer title. For the purpose of this analysis, it is assumed that Congress would not approve the title transfer.

2.3 ALTERNATIVE CONSIDERED BUT DISMISSED

A partial transfer of title to allow ownership of some portion of the distribution facility by the MWD was considered. However, title of the facility cannot be divided. Therefore, this alternative was dropped from further consideration.

3.0 PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT AREA

The Montecito County Water District was incorporated on November 10, 1921 and later changed its name to "Montecito Water District" pursuant to Section 31006 of the Water Code. The District was formed for the purposes of furnishing potable water within the District.

The MWD was enlarged on December 6, 1995, when the Summerland Water District was formally dissolved and merged with the MWD. At the November 7, 1995 election, the voters of the Summerland Water District approved the dissolution of the Summerland District and the reorganization for the MWD to assume the assets, obligations and responsibility for water service in the Summerland area. The project infrastructure is located within the community of Summerland and was included in the reorganization effort.

The District is located in the southern coastal portion of Santa Barbara County and as indicated above, includes the unincorporated communities of Montecito and Summerland. It has a population of approximately 16,730 and currently provides water to over 4,300 accounts. Figure 1 shows the regional location of the District and project infrastructure.

The District currently obtains its water supplies from the following:

- Cachuma Project Water from the United States (Cachuma Project) 50%
- Jameson Lake, Fox and Alder Creeks and Doulton Tunnel 45%
- Local groundwater basin 3%
- State Water Project 2%

The MWD encompasses an area of 9,874 acres, of which approximately 6,883 acres are developed (about 98 % as residential and 2% as commercial) and approximately 1,021 acres are currently used for agriculture. The District terrain is relatively steep, varying in elevation from sea level to 1,000 feet. The system is gravity-fed with a series of pressure zones controlled by pressure regulating stations, with water pumped from the South Coast conduit and from wells.

The community of Summerland is located on a flat, narrow coastal terrace and can be described as a small, rural beach town. It is bordered by the shoreline of the Santa Barbara Channel to the south and the steeply rising Santa Ynez Mountains to the north. Highway 101 and the Union Pacific Rail Road pass through the area, which is located between the Cities of Carpinteria to the east and Santa Barbara to the west.

Site Information	
Land Use Designation	The Summerland area consists of many land uses ranging from residential, commercial, agriculture and industrial.
Zoning District, Ordinance	The Summerland area consists of many land uses zones ranging from residential, commercial, agriculture and industrial.
Service Area	MWD serves approximately an area totaling 9,874 acres.
Surrounding Uses/Zoning	<i>North:</i> Santa Ynez Mountains <i>South:</i> Pacific Ocean <i>East:</i> Open space with City of Carpinteria beyond <i>West:</i> Montecito with City of Santa Barbara beyond
Sensitive Areas	Romero Creek to the west, coastal bluffs and pristine coastland to the south.
Annual precipitation and seasonal weather patterns.	Mediterranean climate characterized by warm, dry summers and cooler, relatively damp winters. Precipitation averages between 10-18 inches/year.
Access	General access to the project area is provided by U.S. 101.
Public Services	<i>Water Supply:</i> Montecito Water District <i>Sewage:</i> Summerland County Sanitation District <i>Fire:</i> Carpinteria-Summerland Fire Protection District <i>Police:</i> Santa Barbara County Sheriff Department

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

The following checklist indicates the potential level of impact and is abbreviated as follows:

- Known Signif.: Known significant environmental impacts.
- Unknown Poten. Signif.: Unknown potentially significant impacts which need further review to determine significance level.
- Poten. Signif. and Mitig.: Potentially significant impacts which can be mitigated to less than significant levels.
- Not Signif.: Impacts which are not considered significant.
- No Impact: No impacts will result.

4.1 AIR QUALITY:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. The violation of any ambient air quality standard, a substantial contribution to an existing or projected air quality violation including, CO hotspots, or exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations (emissions from direct, indirect, mobile and stationary sources)?					X
b. Is the project located within an "attainment" or "non-attainment" area? If in a "non-attainment area, does the project conform with the EPA approved State Implementation Plan?					X
c. The creation of objectionable smoke, ash or odors?					X
d. Extensive dust generation?					X

Setting:

The project site is located within the Santa Barbara Air Pollution Control District and is part of the South Central Coast Air Basin. Air pollutants in the project area are emitted from a mix of "mobile sources" and "stationary sources." Mobile sources are motor vehicles, including cars, trucks, trains, and, construction vehicles. Stationary sources include oil wells, gas flaring facilities, gas burning appliances, fireplaces, evaporation from organic solvents, pesticides, and paints. Windblown dust and soil materials also contribute to air pollution. Common air pollutants include volatile organic compounds (VOC), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), ozone (O₃), particulate matter, carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of sulfur (SO_x), and toxic air emissions such as lead. Santa Barbara County is classified as being in non-attainment for ozone (state and federal guidelines) and PM₁₀ (federal guidelines).

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure. Therefore, no impacts to air quality will result from the proposed action.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts upon air quality, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.2 WATER QUALITY/FLOODING:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?					X
b. Changes in percolation rates, drainage patterns or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?					X
c. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?					X
d. Discharge into surface waters, or alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, or thermal water pollution (e.g., eutrophication)?					X
e. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters, or need for private or public flood control projects?					X
f. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding (placement of project in 100 year flood plain), accelerated runoff or tsunamis?					X
g. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of groundwater?					X
h. Change in the quantity of groundwaters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations or recharge interference?					X
i. Overdraft or overcommitment of any groundwater basin? Or, a significant increase in the existing overdraft or overcommitment of any groundwater basin?					X
j. The substantial degradation of groundwater quality including saltwater intrusion?					X
k. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?					X
l. Is the project or the project's affected area over a designated sole source aquifer? If so, document consultation with EPA.					X
m. Impacts to water or wastewater treatment facilities?					X
n. Is the project consistent with state and regional water quality standards?					X

Setting:

The Summerland area is divided into two drainages known as the rural drainage area and the urban drainage area. The rural drainage area is located in the north portion of the community and is naturally drained by the seasonal creek that runs along Greenwell Avenue. Additionally, Torro Creek drains the eastern portion of the rural drainage area. Limited storm

drainage infrastructure including the Evans Avenue storm drain and other cross street drains exist within the urban drainage area. Sediment basins have been established in various areas to control erosion and flooding problems within the community. Drainage from the area ultimately enters the Pacific Ocean.

The Communities of Summerland and Montecito obtain water through the MWD. The MWD service area and water supplies are described in Section 3.0, Project Description of this Environmental Assessment.

Wastewater collection and treatment services are provided to the Summerland area by the Summerland Sanitary District. The District's Treatment Plant Capacity is currently 0.3 million gallons per day (mgd) (Custor, personal communication, May 2000).

The project site is within the jurisdiction of the Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board (CCRWQCB). The CCRWQCB has responsibility over the protection of water quality within the region.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure. No alterations to water use or wastewater generation would result from the project. The project would not result in any activities that would influence surface or groundwater flow, nor introduce any structures into a flood hazard area. Additionally, there are no elements of the project that would influence water quality.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts upon water quality/flooding, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.3 PUBLIC FACILITIES/SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. A need for new or altered police protection and/or health care services?					X
b. Student generation exceeding school capacity?					X
c. Significant amounts of solid waste or breach any national, state, or local standards or thresholds relating to solid waste disposal and generation (including recycling facilities and existing landfill capacity)? (List types and quantities of waste to be generated)					X
d. Will there be disposal of hazardous waste?					X
e. A need for new or altered sewer system facilities (sewer lines, lift-stations, etc.)?					X

Setting:

Public facilities/services and the providers for the Summerland area are listed below.

Public Facilities/Services	Service Provider
Water Service	Montecito Water District
Wastewater Collection and Treatment	Summerland Sanitary District
Solid Waste Collection and Disposal	E.J Harrison and Sons, Inc. (non-hazardous)
Police Protection	Santa Barbara County Sheriff Department
Fire Protection	Carpinteria-Summerland Fire Protection District
Public Schools	Carpinteria School District

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure that would create a direct or indirect demand for public services. Therefore, no impacts upon public facilities/solid waste management will result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts upon public facilities/solid waste management, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.4 LAND USE:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Structures and/or land use incompatible with existing land use? What effect will there be on existing land uses?					X
b. The induction of substantial growth or concentration of population?					X
c. The extension of sewer trunk lines or access roads with capacity to serve new development beyond this proposed project?					X
d. The conversion of prime agricultural land to non-agricultural use, impairment of agricultural land productivity (whether prime or non-prime), or conflict with agricultural preserve programs?					X
e. An effect upon any unique or other farmland of State or Local Importance?					X
f. The loss of a substantial amount of open space?					X
g. An economic or social effect that would result in a physical change? (i.e., Closure of a freeway ramp results in isolation of an area, businesses located in the vicinity close, neighborhood degenerates, and buildings deteriorate. Or, if construction of new freeway divides an existing community, the construction would be the physical change, but the economic/social effect on the community would be the basis for determining that the physical change would be significant.)					X
h. Conflicts with adopted airport safety zones (including FAA-designated civilian airport Runway Clear Zone, or military airfield Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone based upon information from the airport administrator designating such zones)?					X
i. Will the project be consistent with land use and zoning regulations?					X
j. Will the project result in the loss, interference, disruption and/or closure of any recreational or open space?					X

Setting:

Land use and zoning designations within the Summerland area include residential, commercial, agriculture and industrial. An undesignated area also exists within this community.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure. No changes to existing land uses are proposed. Therefore, the project will not affect land uses and no impacts upon land use will result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts upon land use, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.5 TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement (daily, peak-hour, etc.) in relation to existing traffic load and capacity of the street system?					X
b. A need for private or public road maintenance, or need for new road(s)?					X
c. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?					X
d. Substantial impact upon existing transit systems (e.g. bus service) or alteration of present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?					X
e. Alteration to waterborne, rail or air traffic?					X
f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians (including short-term construction and long-term operational)?					X
g. Inadequate sight distance?					X
ingress/egress?					X
general road capacity?					X
emergency access?					X

Setting:

The primary method of transportation within the project area is by automobile. Surface streets are widely distributed throughout the Summerland area. A major highway, U.S. 101 serves the area as does the Union Pacific Railroad.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure that would generate additional traffic or otherwise influence transportation systems. Therefore, no impacts upon transportation/circulation will result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts upon transportation/circulation, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.6 NATURAL ENVIRONMENT:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. A loss or disturbance to a unique, rare or threatened plant community?					X
b. A reduction in the numbers or restriction in the range of any unique, rare or threatened species of plants?					X
c. A reduction in the extent, diversity, or quality of native vegetation (including brush removal for fire prevention and flood control improvements)?					X
d. An impact on non-native vegetation whether naturalized or horticultural if of habitat value?					X
e. The loss of healthy native specimen trees?					X
f. Introduction of herbicides, pesticides, animal life, human habitation, non-native plants, or other factors that would change or hamper the existing habitat?					X
g. A reduction in the numbers, a restriction in the range, or an impact to the critical habitat of any unique, rare, threatened, or endangered species of animals?					X
h. A reduction in the diversity or numbers of animals onsite (including mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fish or invertebrates)?					X
i. A deterioration of existing fish or wildlife habitat (for foraging, breeding, roosting, nesting, etc.)?					X
j. Introduction of barriers to movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species?					X
k. Introduction of any factors (light, fencing, noise, human presence and/or domestic animals) which could hinder the normal activities of wildlife?					X

Setting:

The project area consists of many diverse natural habitats and supports an abundance of flora and fauna. Various biological communities within the area include woodlands (oak, eucalyptus and cypress), riparian habitats and coastal sage scrub. Environmentally sensitive habitats within the area include wetlands (streams), butterfly trees, oak woodlands and coastal sage scrub. The Summerland Community Plan indicates that three sensitive plant species have been identified in the Summerland area. The identified species are: Plummer's Baccharis (*Baccharis plumerae*), Chaparral Mallow (*Malacothamnus fasciculatus var. nuttallii*) and White-Flowered Sticky Phacelia (*Phacelia viscida var. albiflora*). These species are presently not listed with State or Federal species protection agencies. However, they are considered sensitive by either the California Native Plants Society or the County of Santa Barbara. A records search of the Natural Diversity Data Base was conducted for the Carpinteria Quadrangle (see appendix). Based upon the available records no listed species have been recorded as being located within the project area. However, this does not necessarily mean that none occur.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure that would affect either plants, animals, or their habitat. Therefore, no impacts upon the natural environment will result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts upon the natural environment, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.7 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE AND HUMAN POPULATION:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. A direct or indirect effect on low income or minority residents? (Note: attach Form RECD 2006-38 "Civil Rights Impact Analysis Certification")					X
b. An effect on a neighborhood that suffers from adverse human health or environmental conditions?					X
c. An effect on nearby or adjacent neighborhoods that are predominantly low income or minority?					X
d. A beneficial effect by employing or serving a clientele of predominantly low income or minority persons at the project site?					X
e. A relocation of persons?					X
f. An impact on nearby residents or changes in demographics?					X

Setting:

The Summerland community is located within unincorporated Santa Barbara County. In May of 1992 the County adopted a Community Plan for Summerland. At the time of its preparation, the area had 84,413 s.f. of commercial space with potential for buildout of up to an additional 72,080 s.f., 54,600 s.f. of industrial space with buildout potential for an additional 55,000 s.f., and 550 residential units with the potential for an additional 267 units.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure that would affect neighborhoods or individual residents. Therefore, no impacts regarding environmental justice and human population will occur.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts upon environmental justice and human population, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.8 CONSTRUCTION:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Construction impacts on air quality, water quality, noise levels, solid waste disposal soil erosion and siltation?					X

Setting:

A construction setting is not applicable to the proposed project.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure or other construction. Therefore, no impacts upon air quality, water quality, noise levels, solid waste disposal, soil erosion, or siltation resulting from construction will result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts on air quality, water quality, noise levels, solid waste disposal, soil erosion, or siltation resulting from construction, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.9 ENERGY IMPACTS:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Substantial increase in demand, especially during peak periods, upon existing sources of energy?					X
b. Requirement for the development or extension of new sources of energy?					X
c. The use of energy conservation measures?					X

Setting:

An energy setting is not applicable to the proposed project.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure that could increase demand upon existing sources of energy. The project will not require the development or extension of new sources of energy or the use of energy conservation measures. Therefore, no impacts upon energy will result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts on energy use or demand, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.10 NOISE ABATEMENT AND CONTROL:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Long-term exposure of people to noise levels exceeding thresholds (e.g. locating noise sensitive uses within the line of site. of an arterial roadway or railroad or an airport that would have an ambient noise level of greater than 65 LDN based on the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Noise Assessment Guide (NAG)?					X
b. Short-term exposure of people to noise levels exceeding thresholds?					X
c. Project-generated substantial increase in the ambient noise levels for adjoining areas (either day or night)?					X

Setting:

Noise is generally defined as unwanted or objectionable sound. The dominant noise sources heard in the project area are traffic along U.S. 101 and other major surface streets, railroad traffic, commercial and industrial operations, residential activity, birds, surf, wind, and occasional air traffic. Land uses that are especially sensitive to noise include residences, schools, libraries, day-care, parks, hotels, hospitals, and rest homes.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure. No activities that would generate noise, nor introduction of noise

sensitive uses into noisy environments would result. Therefore, no impacts upon noise abatement and control will result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts on noise abatement and control, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.11 EXPLOSIVE FLAMMABLE OPERATIONS:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
<p>a. The exposure of people or buildings to any above-ground explosive or flammable fuels, or chemicals containers? (If necessary mitigate the hazard per 24 CFR 51.205) with the construction of a barrier with adequate size and strength to protect the project from the explosive or flammable hazard.</p>					X

Setting:

There are operations in the project area, which use, transport, store or dispose of explosive or flammable materials. These include the railroad which transports hazardous materials, a Southern California Gas transmission line and a local gas station. However, the presence of these facilities and their operations do not pertain to the proposed project.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure, and will not expose people or buildings to any explosive or flammable fuels or chemicals. Therefore, no impacts associated with their use or presence will result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts resulting from explosive or flammable fuel or chemicals, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.12 TOXIC, CHEMICAL, AND RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. New development for habitation within one mile of a National Priority List (NPL Superfund) site; or within 2,000 feet of a CERCLIS site; or adjacent to any other known or suspected sites contaminate with toxic, radioactive, or hazardous materials (unless a federal, state or local authoritative source determines the site does not pose a health hazard)?					X
b. In the known history of this property, have there been any past uses, storage, or discharge of hazardous materials? Examples of hazardous materials include, but are not limited to, fuel or oil stored in underground tanks, pesticides, solvents, or other chemicals? (If the project involves property acquisition, include the results of a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment)					X
c. Will the <u>proposed</u> project involve the use, storage, or distribution of hazardous or toxic materials?					X
d. A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to oil, gas, biocides, bacteria, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?					X
e. Possible interference with an emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?					X
f. The creation of a potential public health hazard?					X
g. Public safety hazards (e.g., due to development near existing chemical or industrial activity, producing oil wells, toxic disposal sites, etc.)?					X
h. Exposure to hazards from oil or gas pipelines or oil well facilities?					X
i. The contamination of a public water supply?					X

Setting:

Significant sources of radioactive materials are absent from the project area (e.g., nuclear power, weapons stations, etc.). There are operations in the project area, which use, store and or transport toxic or chemical materials as described above.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure. No uses of hazardous materials are proposed nor would the

project expose people to existing toxic, chemical, or radioactive material. Therefore, no impacts resulting from toxic, chemical, or radioactive materials will occur.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because there will be no impacts related to toxic, chemical, or radioactive materials, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.13 GEOLOGIC PROCESSES:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Exposure to or production of unstable earth conditions such as landslides, earthquakes, liquefaction, soil creep, mudslides, ground failure (including expansive, compressible, collapsible soils), or similar hazards?					X
b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil by cuts, fills, or extensive grading?					X
c. Permanent changes in topography?					X
d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic, paleontologic, or physical features?					X
e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?					X
f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands or dunes, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river, or stream, or the bed of the ocean, or any bay, inlet or lake?					X
g. The placement of septic disposal systems in impermeable soils with severe constraints to disposal of liquid effluent?					X
h. Extraction of mineral or ore?					X
i. Excessive grading on slopes of over 20%?					X
j. Sand or gravel removal or loss of topsoil?					X
k. Vibrations, from short-term construction or long-term operation, which may affect adjoining areas?					X
l. Excessive spoils, tailings or over-burden?					X

Setting:

Summerland is located in the foothills of the Santa Ynez Mountains, near the western edge of the Transverse Ranges. The Rincon Formation which consists of silty claystone and clayey siltstone underlies the Summerland area. The formation exhibits gently rolling topography with many landslide and creep features. In the southern portion of the community, the Rincon formation is overlain by the Casitas Formation, terrace deposits, colluvium (e.g.,

materials eroded from immediately upland areas) and landslide debris. Summerland, like all of southern California is seismically active.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure. No activities that would alter earth conditions are proposed. Therefore, no impacts upon or resulting from geologic processes will occur.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because the proposed project will not be subject to any impacts resulting from geologic hazards, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.14 CULTURAL RESOURCES - ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Disruption, alteration, destruction, or adverse effect on a recorded prehistoric or historic archaeological site?					X
b. Disruption or removal of human remains?					X
c. Increased potential for trespassing, vandalizing, or sabotaging archaeological resources?					X
d. Ground disturbances in an area with potential cultural resource sensitivity based on the location of known historic or prehistoric sites?					X
e. Adverse effect as defined by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation regulations (36 CFR Part 800, Section 800.9 (b)) on historic properties on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (as defined by 36 CFR Section 60.4)?					X
f. Adverse physical or aesthetic impacts on a structure or property at least 50 years old and/or of historic or cultural significance to the community, state or nation other than those on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?					X
g. Beneficial impacts to an historic resource by providing rehabilitation, protection in a conservation/open easement, etc.?					X

Setting:

The project area lies within the historic territory of the Native American group known as the Chumash. The Chumash occupied the region from San Luis Obispo to Malibu Canyon on

the coast, inland as far as the western edge of the San Joaquin Valley, and the four northern Channel Islands. The Chumash are subdivided into factions based on six distinct dialects: Barbareño, Ventureño, Purisimeño, Ynezeño, Obispeño, and Island.

Although a complete systematic survey of historical structures in the Summerland area has not been done, numerous structures apparently exceed 50 years of age and are therefore considered important from a historical standpoint (County of Santa Barbara, May 1992). No County Historical Landmarks are recorded in Summerland.

A record search for archaeological sites, historic properties and previous cultural resources studies conducted by the Central Coast Information Center (California Archaeological Inventory) in May 2000 identified 14 recorded archaeological resource sites and no historic properties within MWD's boundaries. A total of 27 cultural resources studies are documented to have been conducted within the Summerland area of the District's service area. The recorded sites are mostly concentrated outside of the developed community center.

The National Historic Preservation Act requires that the heads of all Federal agencies shall assume responsibility for the preservation of historic properties which are owned or controlled by their agency. Historic properties are considered to include all properties listed in the National Register and to properties meeting eligibility criteria specified in 36 CFR Section 60.4¹. Because the transfer of federally-owned historic properties to a non-federal entity is considered an adverse effect, it is important to acknowledge the presence of such historic properties on federally-owned lands. The Central Coast Information Center records search mapped results were compared to the distribution system map. Based upon this comparison, no known historic properties are present on the lands owned or controlled by the Bureau of Reclamation. At least one historic property is present on easement lands (in the southern portion of the system). The historic property present on easement lands may be on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. However, the Bureau's easements possess only the right of use of the land and that right of use extends only to the extent necessary to provide the actual benefit intended by the easement. The lands underlying the Bureau's MWD distribution system easements are not under the direct or indirect jurisdiction of the Bureau and are not under the Bureau's ownership or control.

¹ Department of the Interior regulations describe the National Register criteria for listing in 36 CFR Section 60.4 as follows. The quality of significance in American History, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association, and that a) are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or b) that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or c) that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or d) that have yielded or may be likely to yield information important in history or prehistory.

The MWD distribution system does not meet any of the criteria for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (criterion A, B, C, or D). Additionally, the system is less than 50 years old.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure that could alter, disrupt, disturb, or destroy any archaeological or historic resources that may be present within the MWD's service area.

As indicated above, the distribution system does not include any federally-owned lands with identified historic resources. Therefore, no transfer of federally-owned historic properties to a non-federal agency would occur and no adverse effects to historic resources would result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because the proposed project will have no impact on archaeological or historic resources, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.15 ETHNIC RESOURCES:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Disruption of or adverse effects upon a prehistoric or historic archaeological site or property of historic or cultural significance to a community or ethnic group?					X
b. Increased potential for trespassing, vandalizing, or sabotaging ethnic, sacred, or ceremonial places?					X
c. The potential to conflict with or restrict existing religious, sacred, or educational uses of the area?					X

Setting:

The Summerland has been inhabited by different ethnic groups over time. See also the setting discussion provided in 4.14 above.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure that would have the potential to adversely affect ethnic resources or activities. Therefore, no impacts upon ethnic resources will occur.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because the proposed project will have no impact on archaeological, cultural, or ethnic resources, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.16 FIRE PROTECTION:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Introduction of development into an existing high fire hazard area?					X
b. Project-caused high fire hazard?					X
c. Introduction of development into an area without adequate water pressure, fire hydrants or adequate access for fire fighting?					X
d. Introduction of development that will hamper fire prevention techniques such as controlled burns or backfiring in high fire hazard areas?					X
e. Development of structures beyond safe Fire Dept. response time?					X

Setting:

Fire protection services to the coastal areas between the Ventura County/Santa Barbara County line to the east and Montecito to the west are provided by the Carpinteria/Summerland Fire Protection District, hereafter referred to as the "Fire District". The Fire District is supported by local taxes, and serves a population of more than 18,000 people.

The Fire District has entered into mutual aid agreements with the Ventura County Fire Department, Santa Barbara County Fire Department, and Montecito Fire Protection District. Under the mutual aid agreement, the fire departments assist each other as needed. Mobile Life Services, a private medical company, operates within the Fire District's Carpinteria Station, and provides paramedic and "life essential" services within the Fire District boundaries.

The Fire District operates two fire stations. Station 1 is located at 911 Walnut Avenue in the downtown area of Carpinteria. Station 2 is located at 2375 Lilly Avenue in Summerland. The Fire District is currently staffed by 28 full-time fire fighters. At a minimum, Stations 1 and 2 are staffed with one duty chief, two engine companies (three fire fighters per engine company), and a fire inspector. The Fire District currently provides adequate fire protection throughout its service area.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system

lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure, or any other development that could increase demand for fire protection services, create a fire hazard, or interfere with fire protection response. Therefore, the proposed project will have no impact on fire protection.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because the proposed project will have no impact on fire protection, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.17 RECREATION:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Conflict with established recreational uses of the area?					X
b. Conflict with biking, equestrian, and hiking trails?					X
c. Substantial impact on the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities (e.g., over use of an area with constraints on numbers of people, vehicles, animals, etc. which might safely use the area)?					X

Setting:

The Summerland Community is well served by parks and trails. The system includes the following:

- Lookout Beach Park,
- Loon Point Beach,
- Greenwell Avenue Park,
- Wallace Avenue Beach, and
- 1.54 miles and 1.67 miles of offroad and onroad trails respectively.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure, and will not conflict with any recreational uses of the area, or impact the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities. Therefore, no impacts on recreation will result.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because the proposed project will result in no impact on recreation, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.18 AESTHETIC/VISUAL RESOURCES:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public or the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?					X
b. Change to the visual character of an area?					X
c. Glare or night lighting which may affect adjoining areas?					X
d. Visually incompatible structures?					X

Setting:

The Summerland community is situated on a narrow shelf located between the Pacific Ocean and the Santa Ynez Mountains which rise steeply and dramatically. Visual resources of the community include natural land and water forms (streams, ocean and unusual geographic phenomena) as well as unique buildings. The primary view corridor through the community is U.S. Highway 101.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure, and will not obstruct or change any aesthetic or visual resources in the area. Therefore, the proposed project will have no impact on aesthetic or visual resources.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because the proposed project will result in no impact on aesthetic or visual resources, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

4.19 HOUSING:

Will the proposal result in:	Known Signif.	Unknown Poten. Signif.	Poten. Signif. and Mitig.	Not Signif.	No Impact
a. Loss of existing affordable dwellings through demolition, conversion, or removal?					X
b. Displacement of current residents?					X

Setting:

The community of Summerland had 550 units of housing with development potential for an additional 267 units at the time of the preparation of the Summerland Community Plan (County of Santa Barbara, May 1992). The community had the potential for buildout of an additional 267 units.

Impact Discussion:

The proposed project is only a transfer of ownership of a federally owned distribution system to the MWD, which includes all currently existing modifications to the federal system lands and properties. The project does not involve any new physical modification or expansion of the service infrastructure, and will not displace any current residents or cause the loss of affordable dwellings through demolition, conversion, or removal. Therefore, the proposed project will have no impact on housing.

Mitigation and Residual Impact:

Because the proposed project will have no impact on housing, no mitigation measures are necessary, nor will there be any residual impact.

5.0 INFORMATION SOURCES

5.1 AGENCIES AND INDIVIDUALS CONSULTED

The following agencies and individuals supplied information to the preparers for this Environmental Assessment.

- Fred Adjarian, General Manager, Montecito Water District
- Art Custor, Summerland Sanitary District
- Evalyn Kerman, Montecito Water District

5.2 BIBLIOGRAPHY:

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (October 1986), *Section 106, Step-by-Step*.

Bureau of Reclamation (1997), *Environmental Assessment, Temporary Deviation from Flood Control Regulations, Friant Dam and Millerton Lake, Friant Division, Central Valley Project*.

California Archaeological Inventory Information Center, May 24, 2000 Letter, Record Search Results.

County of Santa Barbara (May 1992), *Final Summerland Community Plan*.

5.3 OTHER SOURCES (CHECK THOSE SOURCES USED):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Field work | <input type="checkbox"/> Ag Preserve maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Calculations | <input type="checkbox"/> Flood Control maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Project plans | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other technical references
(reports, survey, etc.) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Traffic studies | <input type="checkbox"/> Planning files, maps, reports |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Records | <input type="checkbox"/> Zoning maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grading plans | <input type="checkbox"/> Soils maps/reports |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Elevation, architectural renderings | <input type="checkbox"/> Plant maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Published geological map/reports | <input type="checkbox"/> Archaeological maps and reports |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Topographical maps | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> http://www.montecitowater.com/general.htm |

6.0 PROJECT SPECIFIC (*short and long term*), AND CUMULATIVE IMPACT SUMMARY

Significant Unavoidable Impacts

There will be no unavoidable project-specific or cumulative impacts associated with the implementation of the proposed project.

Significant Impacts that can be Mitigated to a Less Than Significant Level

There will be no project-specific or cumulative impacts resulting from the implementation of this project. Therefore, there are no significant impacts that need to be mitigated.

7.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT

The purpose of this act is to protect, preserve, rehabilitate or restore significant historical and archaeological data, objects, or structures. Cultural resources is a broad term that includes prehistoric, historic, architectural, and traditional cultural properties. Such resources are listed, or are eligible to be listed, in the national Register of Historic Places. The State Historic Preservation Office maintains a database of significant properties in California.

The Bureau will communicate with the State Historic Preservation Office with regard to the project's compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act. The proposed action represents only a change in ownership of the service distribution system and therefore, there is no potential to change the character or use of any historic or cultural resources in the area.

8.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

The general purpose of this statute is to conserve and protect threatened and endangered species of fish, wildlife, and plants. Section 7 of the Act requires:

1. federal agencies to utilize their authorities to conduct programs to conserve endangered and threatened species;
2. consultation with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service when a federal action may affect a listed species to ensure that any action authorized, funded, or carried out by a federal agency is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat;
3. conference with the Service when a federal action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat.

The California Department of Fish and Game Natural Diversity Data Base was queried to determine the presence of sensitive, threatened and endangered species within the MWD service area. The results of the survey are provided as an attachment to this EA.

Because the proposed action is only a transfer of ownership of an existing service distribution system, the project would have no affect on sensitive, threatened or endangered species. Therefore, no consultation with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service is required.

9.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE FISH AND WILDLIFE COORDINATION ACT

This act requires federal agencies to coordinate with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (Service) and the California Department of Fish & Game before undertaking projects or actions

that control or modify surface water. This Act is intended to promote the conservation of wildlife resources by preventing loss of or damage to fish and wildlife resources and to provide for the development and improvement of fish and wildlife resources in connection with water projects. The Service and CDFG are authorized to conduct necessary surveys and investigations, to determine the possible damage to resources and to determine measures for preventing such losses. The reports and recommendations of the Service and CDFG may be integrated into any report that grants permission or authority to construct a project or modify or supplement plans for previously authorized projects. No significant, unavoidable impacts to wildlife would occur under the Proposed Action and no further coordination or consultation would be needed with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service of California Department of Fish and Game.

10.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE INDIAN TRUST ASSETS

Indian Trust Assets are legal interests in property or rights held in trust by the U.S. for Indian Tribes or individual Native Americans. Trust status originates from rights imparted by treaties, statutes, or executive orders. Such assets cannot be sold, leased, or otherwise alienated without federal approval.

Indian reservations, rancherias, and allotments are common Indian Trust Assets. Allotments are parcels of land held in trust for specific individuals that may be located outside reservation boundaries. In addition, such assets include the right to access certain traditional areas and perform traditional ceremonies.

11.0 COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

The proposed project qualifies for an exemption under California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), pursuant to Section 15062 of the CEQA Guidelines. A Notice of Exemption has been prepared and is on file at the MWD office located at 583 San Ysidro Road, Montecito, CA 93108. The Notice will also be filed with the County Clerk of Santa Barbara. The proposed project is also Categorical Exempt under Section 15301, Existing Facilities.

12.0 MITIGATION MEASURES

The proposed project will not result in any impacts. Therefore, no mitigation measures are necessary.

13.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

Donna M. Hebert, Project Manager, Padre Associates, Inc.
Suzun Rasmusson, Graphics Specialist, Padre Associates, Inc.
David Young, Environmental Specialist, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation
Jim West, Cultural Resources Specialist, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation
Sheryl Carter, Contract Repayment Specialist, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation

Appendix

Comments on the Draft Environmental Assessment

The *Draft Environmental Assessment for the Title Transfer of a Federally-Owned Water Distribution System to the Montecito Water District* was circulated for public review from September 15, 2000 through October 16, 2000. A Notice of Availability and copy of the Environmental Assessment (EA) was sent by certified mail to all parties known to the Bureau of Reclamation as having an interest in the project. Public Notice of the Availability of the Environmental Assessment was published in the Santa Barbara News-Press, a newspaper of general circulation in the project area, on September 8, 2000.

The only letter received regarding the Draft EA was from the State of California Governor's Office of Planning and Research, State Clearinghouse. The letter is provided as follows and requires no response.



Gray Davis
GOVERNOR

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Governor's Office of Planning and Research
Oct 12 | 37 PM '00 State Clearinghouse



Steve Nissen
ACTING DIRECTOR

October 6, 2000

David Young
U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation
1243 N Street
Fresno, CA 93721

KEYWORD
FILE CODE
ENV-6

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

CODE	ACTION	SURNAME & DATE
<i>YH</i>		<i>YH 10/16</i>

DATE ACTION TAKEN
COPIES TO

Subject: Title Transfer of a Federally-Owned Water Distribution System to Montecito Water District
SCH#: 2000094002

Dear David Young:

The State Clearinghouse submitted the above named Environmental Assessment to selected state agencies for review. The review period closed on October 5, 2000, and no state agencies submitted comments by that date. This letter acknowledges that you have complied with the State Clearinghouse review requirements for draft environmental documents, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Please call the State Clearinghouse at (916) 445-0613 if you have any questions regarding the environmental review process. If you have a question about the above-named project, please refer to the ten-digit State Clearinghouse number when contacting this office.

Sincerely,

Terry Roberts

Terry Roberts
Senior Planner, State Clearinghouse

OPTIONAL FORM 90 (7-90)

FAX TRANSMITTAL

of pages *2 + 1*

To <i>Donna Hebert</i>	From <i>David Young</i>
Dept./Agency <i>Padua</i>	Phone # <i>559 427 5720</i>
Fax <i>805 644-2050</i>	Fax #

NSN 7540-01-317-7368 5099-101 GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

SCH# 2000094002
Project Title Title Transfer of a Federally-Owned Water Distribution System to Montecito Water District
Lead Agency U.S. Department of the Interior

Type EA Environmental Assessment
Description Transfer of ownership of a water distribution system.

Lead Agency Contact

Name David Young
Agency U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation
Phone (559) 487-5127 **Fax**
email
Address 1243 N Street
City Fresno **State** CA **Zip** 93721

Project Location

County Santa Barbara
City
Region
Cross Streets
Parcel No. Entire district
Township

Range **Section** **Base**

Proximity to:

Highways U.S. 101
Airports
Railways Union Pacific
Waterways Pacific Ocean
Schools
Land Use Various

Project Issues

Reviewing Agencies Resources Agency; California Coastal Commission; Department of Fish and Game, Region 5; Office of Historic Preservation; Department of Parks and Recreation; Reclamation Board; Department of Water Resources; Caltrans, District 5; Department of Health Services; State Water Resources Control Board, Clean Water Program; State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Rights; Regional Water Quality Control Board, Region 3; Native American Heritage Commission; State Lands Commission

Data Received 09/06/2000 **Start of Review** 09/06/2000 **End of Review** 10/05/2000

Note: Blanks in data fields result from insufficient information provided by lead agency

Appendix

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Search Results for
Carpinteria Quad

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAAA
' BUFO MICROSCAPHUS CALIFORNICUS
' ARROYO TOAD AAAAAList StatusAAAAAAAAAAAAANDDB Element RanksAAAAAAAAOther ListsAAAA
' Element Code: AAABB01111 Federal: Endangered Global: G5/T3? CDFG Status: SC
' State: None State: S2S3
AA

AAAAAHabitat Associations AA
General: SEMI-ARID REGIONS NEAR WASHES OR INTERMITTENT STREAMS, INCLUDING VALLEY-FOOTHILL AND DESERT RIPARIAN, DESERT WASH, ETC.
Micro: RIVERS WITH SANDY BANKS, WILLOWS, COTTONWOODS, AND SYCAMORES; LOOSE, GRAVELLY AREAS OF STREAMS IN DRIER PARTS OF RANGE.
AA

Occurrence No. 12 Map Index:33130 AADates Last SeenAA Lat/Long: 34o30'10" / 119o34'04" Township: 05N
Occ Rank: Unknown Element: 1991-05-25 UTM: Zone-11 N3820687 E264247 Range: 26W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1991-05-25 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: XX Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Radius: 1 mile Elevation: 1640 ft
Main Source: SWEET, S. 1993 (PERS)
Quad Summary: HILDRETH PEAK (3411955/167D)*, CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: SANTA YNEZ RIVER, AT EAST PENDOLA FLAT, LOS PADRES NATIONAL FOREST.
AAAAAACommentsAAAAAA
Distribution: LOCATED IN A POOL AT ELEV 1640 FT.
Ecological:
Threat:
General: UCSB SPECIMEN #31731 (EGGS), 31758 (LOT), 31876-31877 (LOTS), AND 32029.
Owner/Manager: USFS-LOS PADRES NF

AA

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

CHARADRIUS ALEXANDRINUS NIVOSUS (NESTING)
WESTERN SNOWY PLOVER
Element Code: ABNNB03031 Federal: Threatened Global: G4T2 CDFG Status: SC
State: None State: S2

Habitat Associations
General: FEDERAL LISTING APPLIES ONLY TO THE PACIFIC COASTAL POPULATION.
Micro: SANDY BEACHES, SALT POND LEVEES & SHORES OF LARGE ALKALI LAKES. NEEDS SANDY, GRAVELLY OR FRIABLE SOILS FOR NESTING.

Occurrence No. 43 Map Index: 02399 Dates Last Seen Lat/Long: 34°23'42" / 119°31'38" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: None Element: 1960-XX-XX UTM: Zone-11 N3808679 E267660 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1978-XX-XX Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 29 Qtr XX
Presence: Extirpated Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Area: 120.9 ac Elevation: 10 ft

Main Source: PAGE, G. & L. STENZEL 1981 (LIT)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:

Location: CARPINTERIA-SANDYLAND.

Comments

Distribution:

Ecological: 1978 SURVEY FOUND THAT SUITABLE HABITAT IS NO LONGER PRESENT AT THIS SITE.

Threat: HABITAT IS NOW UNSUITABLE BECAUSE OF HUMAN ACTIVITY/DEVELOPMENT.

General: 25 MUSEUM EGG SETS COLLECTED BETWEEN 1909 AND 1946. ONE NEST REPORTED BY FLORA MACLISE IN 1960.

Owner/Manager: PVT, DPR, UCLWRS

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

VIREO BELLII PUSILLUS (NESTING)
LEAST BELL'S VIREO
Element Code: ABPBW01114
State: Endangered

Habitat Associations
General: SUMMER RESIDENT OF SOUTHERN CALIF. INHABITS LOW RIPARIAN GROWTH IN VIC OF WATER OR IN DRY RIVER BOTTOMS; BELOW 2000 FT.
Micro: NESTS PLACED ALONG MARGINS OF BUSHES OR ON TWIGS PROJECTING INTO PATHWAYS, USUALLY WILLOW, BACCHARIS, MESQUITE.

Occurrence No. 17 Map Index: 15139
Occ Rank: Unknown
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence
Presence: Presumed Extant
Trend: Unknown
Main Source: GOLDWASSER, S. 1978 (LIT)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: SANTA YNEZ RIVER AT JUNCAL CAMPGROUND, JUST BELOW JUNCAL DAM.

Comments
Distribution:
Ecological:
Threat: IMPACTS FROM RECREATIONAL USE. AREA WAS DAMAGED BY HEAVY FLOODING IN WINTER OF 1977-78.
General: ONE VIREO OBSERVED IN RIPARIAN VEGETATION AT CAMPGROUND IN 1978 AND 1980.
Owner/Manager: UNKNOWN

California Department of Fish and Game
 Natural Diversity Data Base
 Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAA,
 PASSERCUULUS SANDWICHENSIS BELDINGI
 BELDING'S SAVANNAH SPARROW AAAAAList StatusAAAAAAAANDDB Element RanksAAAAAOther ListsAAAAA
 Element Code: ABPBX99015 Federal: None Global: G5T3 CDFG Status: State: Endangered State: S3
 AAU

AAAAAHabitat Associations AAAU
 General: INHABITS COASTAL SALT MARSHES, FROM SANTA BARBARA SOUTH THROUGH SAN DIEGO COUNTY.
 Micro: NESTS IN SALICORNIA ON AND ABOUT MARGINS OF TIDAL FLATS.
 AAAU

Occurrence No. 2 Map Index: 15146 Dates Last Seen Lat/Long: 34u24'02" / 119u32'07" Township: 04N
 Occ Rank: Good Element: 1991-04-16 UTM: Zone-11 N3809287 E266938 Range: 25W
 Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1991-04-16 Precision: SPECIFIC Section: 30 Qtr XX
 Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
 Trend: Fluctuating Area: 195.3 ac Elevation: 5 ft
 Main Source: U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE 1987 (LIT)
 Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
 County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
 SNA Summary: Carpinteria Salt Marsh
 Location: CARPINTERIA MARSH (EL ESTERO), BETWEEN CARPINTERIA AND SANDYLAND. SOUTH OF HWY 101.
 AAAAACommentsAAAAAA
 Distribution: MAIN CONCENTRATIONS OF NESTING TERRITORIES BETWEEN APPLE RD & SANTA MONICA CREEK. TIDAL INUNDATION IS LOWER
 HERE THAN THE AVERAGE FOR THE REST OF THE BASIN.
 Ecological: SALT MARSH.
 Threat: DOGS, FERAL CATS, RED FOX, HUMAN TRESPASS, AG RUNOFF, UPSTREAM FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS & DEVELOPMENT.
 General: 100 PRS ESTIMATED IN 1973, 34 PRS ESTIMATED IN 1977, 74 PRS ESTIMATED IN 1986, 52 PRS ESTIMATED IN 1991.
 Owner/Manager: PVT, UCSB

AA

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

ONCORHYNCHUS MYKISS IRIDEUS
SOUTHERN STEELHEAD - SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ESU
Element Code: AFC11A0209J Federal: Endangered Global: G5T2 CDFG Status: SC
State: None State: S2
Habitat Associations
General: FED LISTING REFERS TO POPS FROM SANTA MARIA RIVER SOUTH TO SOUTHERN EXTENT OF RANGE (MALIBU CREEK)
Micro: SOUTHERN STEELHEAD LIKELY HAVE GREATER PHYSIOLOGICAL TOLERANCES TO WARMER WATER & MORE VARIABLE CONDITIONS.

Occurrence No. 8 Map Index: 41376 Dates Last Seen Lat/Long: 34°27'08" / 119°37'15" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Good Element: 1999-06-14 UTM: Zone-11 N3815246 E259206 Range: 26W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1999-06-14 Precision: SPECIFIC Section: 05 Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Area: 45.5 ac Elevation: 750 ft

Main Source: DE LA GARZA, M. 1999 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: SAN YSIDRO CREEK, FROM ELEVATION 500 TO 850 FEET, NORTH OF MONTECTO, LOS PADRES NATIONAL FOREST.
Distribution: ADJACENT AREAS ARE RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL AT LOWER ELEVATIONS, WITH NATIONAL FOREST LANDS AT HIGHER ELEVATIONS.
Ecological: PALUSTRINE FORESTED (ARROYO WILLOW SERIES). STREAM HAS BOULDER, COBBLE SUBSTRATE
Threat: FLOOD CONTROL DEBRIS BASIN/ MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES AND OTHER STREAMBANK STABILIZATION PROJECTS.
General: 100 JUVENILES OBSERVED, WHILE SURVEYING FOR RED-LEGGED FROGS, 1999.
Owner/Manager: PVT, USFS-LOS PADRES NF

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAAA
' EUCYCLOGOBIUS NEWBERRYI
' TIDEWATER GOBY AAAAList StatusAAAAAAAANDDB Element RanksAAAAAAOther ListsAAAAA
' Element Code: AFCQN04010 Federal: Endangered Global: G2G3 CDFG Status: SC
' State: None State: S2S3
AAU

AAAAAHabitat Associations AA
General: BRACKISH WATER HABITATS ALONG THE CALIF COAST FROM AGUA HEDIONDA LAGOON, SAN DIEGO CO. TO THE MOUTH OF THE SMITH RIVER.
Micro: FOUND IN SHALLOW LAGOONS AND LOWER STREAM REACHES, THEY NEED FAIRLY STILL BUT NOT STAGNANT WATER & HIGH OXYGEN LEVELS.

Occurrence No. 73 Map Index: 15146 Dates Last Seen Lat/Long: 34°24'02" / 119°32'07" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: None Element: 1923-05-17 UTM: Zone-11 N3809287 E266938 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1984-XX-XX Precision: SPECIFIC Section: 30 Qtr XX
Presence: Possibly Extirpated Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Area: 195.3 ac Elevation: 5 ft
Main Source: SWIFT, C. ET AL 1986 (LIT)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary: Carpinteria Salt Marsh
Location: EL ESTERO, FROM MOUTH TO 0.5 MILE UPSTREAM, NW EDGE OF CARPINTERIA.

AAAAACommentsAAAAAA
Distribution:
Ecological:
Threat:
General: UMMZ 63285.
Owner/Manager: UCLWRS-CARPINTERIA SALT MARSH

Occurrence No. 74 Map Index: 15192 Dates Last Seen Lat/Long: 34°23'37" / 119°30'40" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Unknown Element: 1995-XX-XX UTM: Zone-11 N3808471 E269157 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1995-XX-XX Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 28 Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Fluctuating Area: 78.0 ac Elevation: 20 ft
Main Source: SWIFT, C. ET AL 1986 (LIT)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: CARPINTERIA CREEK, FROM MOUTH TO 1.0 MILE UPSTREAM, CARPINTERIA.

AAAAACommentsAAAAAA
Distribution: SITE OCCUPIES 1.0-2.5 ACRES.
Ecological:
Threat:
General: UMMZ 133067 COLLECTED 8 JULY 1940. GOBIES NOT RECORDED AT THIS SITE BETWEEN 1940 & 1993 UNKNOWN NUMBER
COLLECTED IN 1995.
Owner/Manager: PVT

California Department of Fish and Game
 Natural Diversity Data Base
 Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAA

* THAMNOPHIS HAMMONDII
 * TWO-STRIPED GARTER SNAKE AAAAAList StatusAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAANDBB Element RanksAAAAAAOther ListsAAAAA
 * Element Code: ARADB36160 Federal: None Global: G3? CDFG Status: SC
 * State: None State: S2

AAU

AAAAAHabitat Associations AA
 General: COASTAL CALIFORNIA FROM VICINITY OF SALINAS TO NORTHWEST BAJA CALIFORNIA. FROM SEA TO ABOUT 7,000 FT ELEVATION.
 Micro: HIGHLY AQUATIC, FOUND IN OR NEAR PERMANENT FRESH WATER. OFTEN ALONG STREAMS WITH ROCKY BEDS AND RIPARIAN GROWTH.
 AAA

Occurrence No. 29 Map Index:33145 AADates Last SeenAA Lat/Long: 34º29'14" / 119º32'00" Township: 05N
 Occ Rank: Unknown Element: 1985-03-16 UTM: Zone-11 N3818894 E267366 Range: 25W
 Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1985-03-16 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 30 Qtr E
 Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
 Trend: Unknown Radius: 1/5 mile Elevation: 2850 ft
 Main Source: SWEET, S. 1985 (PERS)
 Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
 County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
 SNA Summary:
 Location: SANTA YNEZ RIVER, 0.25 MILE EAST OF JUNCAL CAMPGROUND, LOS PADRES NATIONAL FOREST.
 AAAAACommentsAAAAAAA
 Distribution:
 Ecological:
 Threat:
 General: UCSB SPECIMEN #27771, COLLECTED ON 16 MARCH 1985.
 Owner/Manager: USFS-LOS PADRES NF

Occurrence No. 30 Map Index:33146 AADates Last SeenAA Lat/Long: 34º29'47" / 119º36'42" Township: 05N
 Occ Rank: Unknown Element: 1985-05-05 UTM: Zone-11 N3820083 E260202 Range: 26W
 Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1985-05-05 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 21 Qtr SW
 Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
 Trend: Unknown Radius: 1/5 mile Elevation: 2050 ft
 Main Source: SWEET, S. 1985 (PERS)
 Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
 County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
 SNA Summary:
 Location: JUNCTION OF FORBUSH CANYON AND BLUE CANYON, SE OF GIBRALTAR RESERVOIR.
 AAAAACommentsAAAAAAA
 Distribution:
 Ecological:
 Threat:
 General: UCSB SPECIMEN #27944, COLLECTED ON 5 MAY 1985.
 Owner/Manager: USFS-LOS PADRES NF

Occurrence No. 158 Map Index: AADates Last Seen Lat/Long: / Township:
Occ Rank: Fair Element: XXXX-XX-XX UTM: Range:
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1994-XX-XX Precision: Section: Qtr
Presence: Possibly Extirpated Symbol Type: Meridian:
Trend: Unknown Radius: Elevation:

Main Source: SAKAI, W. 1989 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)

County Summary: SANTA BARBARA

SNA Summary:

Location: *SENSITIVE* Location information suppressed.

Comments

Distribution: Please contact the California Natural Diversity Database, California Department of Fish and Game, for more information: (916) 324-3812.

Ecological: ROOST SITE IS A CYPRESS GROVE.

Threat:

General:

Owner/Manager:

AA

Occurrence No. 159 Map Index: 15044 AADates Last Seen Lat/Long: 34o24'52" / 119o34'30" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Fair Element: 1994-XX-XX UTM: Zone-11 N3810913 E263329 Range: 26W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1998-01-08 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: XX Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
Trend: Decreasing Radius: 1/5 mile Elevation: 30 ft

Main Source: SAKAI, W. 1988 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)

County Summary: SANTA BARBARA

SNA Summary:

Location: ALONG PADARO LANE, IN THE VICINITY OF LOON POINT, SOUTH OF HWY 101, SERENA PARK.

Comments

Distribution: CLUSTERS FORM IN THE VICINITY OF THE 3000 BLOCK OF PADARO LANE. ORIGINAL SITE WAS AT 3197 PADARO LANE (EXTIRPATED AS OF 1997-98); 2ND SITE DISCOVERED AT 3151 PADARO LANE.

Ecological: ROOST SITES ARE A ROW OF UNIDENTIFIED EXOTIC TREES ALONG THE DRIVEWAY AND A NEARBY MODERATELY EXTENSIVE EUCALYPTUS GROVE.

Threat: POTENTIAL THREATS ARE PESTICIDES AND TRIMMING.

General: 40K MONARCHS OBSERVED ON 10 DEC 1985. 5-8K IN 100 CLUSTERS OBSERVED ON 27 JAN 90. 1000 OBSERVED IN FALL 1990.

A LARGE NUMBER REPORTEDLY CLUSTERED IN NOV 92. FLYERS IN 93-94; NONE OBSERVED IN 94-95; 2 FLYERS IN 1996. NONE OBSERVED IN JAN 98.

Owner/Manager: PVT

AA

AA

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAAA
DANAUS PLEXIPPUS (cont.)
MONARCH BUTTERFLY
Element Code: III.EPP2010 Federal: None Global: G5 CDFG Status:
State: None State: S3
AAU

Occurrence No. 160 Map Index: 15198 Dates Last Seen: / Lat/Long: 34°23'16" / 119°30'46" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Good Element: 1997-11-23 UTM: Zone-11 N3807822 E268977 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1998-01-08 Precision: SPOTIFIC Section: XX Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Radius: 80 meters Elevation: 40 ft
Main Source: SAKAI, W. 1989 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: SALZGERBER GROVE SITE, ADJACENT TO THE EAST BOUNDARY OF CARPINTERIA STATE BEACH, CARPENTERIA.
Comments: SITE IS LOCATED IN THE TREES NEAR THE BIKE PATH.
Distribution: ROOST TREES ARE EUCALYPTUS LINING THE CREEK, ALONG WITH NATIVE VEGETATION.
Ecological:
Threat:
General: 1000+ IN OCT 95, 500 ON 26 NOV 95; ONLY 10 BY 28 DEC 95 AND 10 ON 13 JAN 95. 8000 OBSERVED ON 23 NOV 97; 0 BY
8 JAN 98.
Owner/Manager: DPR-CARPINTERIA SB

UAAA
* SENSITIVE *
Occurrence No. 161 Map Index: / Dates Last Seen: / Lat/Long: / Township:
Occ Rank: None Element: XXXX-XX-XX UTM: Range:
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1996-01-13 Precision: Section: Qtr
Presence: Extirpated Symbol Type: Meridian:
Trend: Decreasing Radius: Elevation:
Main Source: SAKAI, W. 1989 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: *SENSITIVE* Location information suppressed.
Comments: Please contact the California Natural Diversity Database, California Department of Fish and Game, for more
information: (916) 324-3812.
Ecological: ROOST TREES WERE EUCALYPTUS; NECTAR SOURCE: A NEARBY LEMON ORCHARD.
Threat:
General:
Owner/Manager:

AAU

Occurrence No. 269 Map Index:26316 AADates Last SeenAA Lat/Long: 34o23'21" / 119o30'33" Township 04N
Occ Rank: Excellent Element: 1996-01-XX UTM: Zone-11 N3807976 E269321 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1996-01-XX Precision: SPECIFIC Section: XX Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Fluctuating Area: 8.5 ac Elevation: 50 ft

Main Source: SAKAI, W. 1993 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA

SNA Summary:
Location: CHEVRON PARK SITE, EAST OF CARPINTERIA STATE BEACH.

AAAAAACommentsAAAAAA

Distribution:

Ecological: HABITAT CONSISTS OF EUCALYPTUS WINDROWS TO THE NORTH, EAST, AND WEST. PINES BORDER THE SOUTH AND SPRR TRACKS,
BUT ARE TOO SPARSE FOR MONARCH USE.

Threat: THREATS INCLUDE TREE TRIMMING - TREES WERE SEVERELY TRIMMED IN 1989.

General: LARGE SITE PRIOR TO 1989 (TREE TRIMMING); MONARCHS NUMBERING 10K+. FLYERS OBSERVED IN 1989-90, 1990-91,
1991-92. SITE USED IN 1992-93; 20K USED THE SITE IN 1993-94. 5000 OBSERVED IN DEC 1994; 2500 IN JAN 1995. 45K
OBSERVED IN 1995-96.

Owner/Manager: PVT-CHEVRON USA

AA

AA

14

Carpinteria Quad

UAA;
' DANAEUS PLEXIPPUS (cont.)
' MONARCH BUTTERFLY AAAAAList StatusAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAANDBB Element RanksAAAAAOther ListsAAAA
' Element Code: MLEPP2010 Federal: None Global: G5 CDFG Status:
' State: None State: S3
AAUU

Occurrence No. 270 Map Index: 26314 Dates Last Seen: Lat/Long: 34°26'02" / 119°36'57" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Fair Element: 1996-01-11 UTM: Zone-11 N3813162 E259621 Range: 26W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1996-01-11 Precision: SPECIFIC Section: XX Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
Trend: Fluctuating Radius: 80 meters Elevation: 200 ft
Main Source: SAKAI, W. 1990 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: SOUTH END OF VALLEY CLUB ROAD, ENNISBROOKE.
Comments:
Distribution: LOCATED AT THE SOUTHERN END OF VALLEY CLUB ROAD, AS IT TURNS EAST TOWARD THE CLUBHOUSE. PREVIOUS SITE WAS
LOCATED TO THE NORTH OF THIS SITE.
Ecological: HABITAT CONSISTS OF A MIXED GROVE OF EUCALYPTUS/OAKS/EXOTICS.
Threat: EUCALYPTUS BEETLE IS CAUSING TREE MORTALITY.
General: 1000-2000 OBSERVED ON 18 JANUARY 1990; ~100 OBSERVED ON 27 JANUARY 1990. 500 OBSERVED IN 1992-93. 200 OBSERVED
IN 1993-94. NONE OBSERVED IN NOV 1994 (POOR YEAR). 15K OBSERVED ON 11 JANUARY 1996.
Owner/Manager: PVT

AA
Occurrence No. 271 Map Index: 26315 Dates Last Seen: Lat/Long: 34°25'07" / 119°34'56" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: None Element: 1990-01-20 UTM: Zone-11 N3811389 E262666 Range: 26W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1996-01-14 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: XX Qtr XX
Presence: Extirpated Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
Trend: Fluctuating Radius: 1/5 mile Elevation: 100 ft
Main Source: SAKAI, W. 1990 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: NW OF THE INTERSECTION OF VIA REAL AND PADARO LANE, BETWEEN SUMMERLAND AND SERENA PARK.
Comments:
Distribution:
Ecological: HABITAT CONSISTED OF AN "L" SHAPED GROVE OF DROUGHT-STRESSED EUCALYPTUS.
Threat: SITE EXTIRPATED WHEN OWNER CUT ROOST TREES DOWN.
General: 50 FLIERS OBSERVED, BUT NO CLUSTERS OBSERVED ON 20 JANUARY 1990. NO MONARCHS FOUND ON 14 JAN 1996. OWNER CUT
DOWN ROOST TREES!
Owner/Manager: PVT

15

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAA,
' LASTHENIA GLABRATA SSP COULTERI
' COULTER'S GOLDFIELDS AAAAAList StatusAAAAAAAAAAAAANDD: Element RanksAAAAAAOther ListsAAAAAA
' Element Code: PDAST51.0A1 Federal: Species of Concern Global: G4T3 CNPS List: 1B
' State: None State: S2.1 R-E-D Code: 2-3-2
AAU

AAAAAHabitat Associations AA
General: COASTAL SALT MARSHES, PLAYAS, VALLEY AND FOOTHILL GRASSLAND, VERNAL POOLS.
Micro: USUALLY FOUND ON ALKALINE SOILS IN PLAYAS, SINKS, AND GRASSLANDS. 1-1400M.
AA

Occurrence No. 5 Map Index: 23834 AADates Last SeenAA Lat/Long: 34°23'53" / 119°31'40" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Unknown Element: 1947-02-XX UTM: Zone-11 N3808995 E267636 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1947-02-XX Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: XX Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Area: 23,834.0 ac Elevation: 10 ft

Main Source: SMITH, C. 1976 (LIT)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:

Location: CARPINTERIA SALT MARSH.
AAAAAAAACommentsAAAAAA
Distribution: TWO COLONIES: LINDEN AVE NEAR THE OCEAN AND ASH STREET NEAR THIRD STREET.
Ecological:
Threat: POTENTIALLY THREATENED BY CATASTROPHIC FLOODING AND FLOOD CONTROL ACTIVITIES.
General: ACCORDING TO FERRIN, THE COLONIES ARE WITHIN THE CARPINTERIA SALT MARSH RESERVE BUT SITES ARE SMALL AND
VULNERABLE.
Owner/Manager: UNKNOWN

AA

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report A Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAAA,
'ATRIPLEX COULTERI
'COULTER'S SALTBUSH List Status: AAAAAAAAAAAAAANIDB Element Ranks: AAAAAA Other Lists: AAAAA
' Element Code: PDC111:040E0 Federal: None Global: G2 CNPS List: 1B
' State: None State: S2.2 R-E-D Code: 2-2-2
AAU

AAAAAHabitat Associations AA
General: COASTAL BLUFF SCRUB, COASTAL DUNES, COASTAL SCRUB, VALLEY AND FOOTHILL GRASSLAND.
Micro: OCEAN BLUFFS, RIDGETOPS, AS WELL AS ALKALINE LOW PLACES. 10-440M.
AA

Occurrence No. 15 Map Index: 27647 Dates Last Seen: Lat/Long: 34°23'44" / 119°31'03" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Unknown Element: 1927-11-27 UTM: Zone-11 N3808680 E268567 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1927-11-27 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: XX Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Radius: 4/5 mile Elevation: 40 ft
Main Source: MASON, H. #4149 UC #M076498 (HERB)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: CARPENTERIA, ALONG OCEAN BLUFF.
AAAAACommentsAAAAAA
Distribution:
Ecological:
Threat:
General: NONE.
Owner/Manager: UNKNOWN

AA

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAA;
' QUERCUS DUMOSA
' NUTTALL'S SCRUB OAK AAAAAList StatusAAAAAAAAANDDB Element RanksAAAAAOther ListsAAAA
' Element Code: PDFAG050D0 Federal: Species of Concern Global: G2 CNPS List: 1B
' State: None State: S1.1 R-1-D Code: 2-3-2
AAUU
AAAAAHabitat Associations AAA
General: CLOSED-CONE CONIFEROUS FOREST, CHAPARRAL, COASTAL SCRUB. MORE COMMON SCRUB OAK NOW = Q. BERBERIDIFOLIA.
Micro: GENERALLY ON SANDY SOILS NEAR THE COAST; SOMETIMES ON CLAY LOAM. 15-400M.
AA

Occurrence No. 1 Map Index:26515 AADates Last SeenA Lat/Long: 34°25'42" / 119°34'09" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Excellent Element: 1992-08-XX UTM: Zone-11 N3812453 E263913 Range: 26W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1992-08-XX Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 14 Qtr E
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Radius: 1/5 mile Elevation: 500 ft
Main Source: CARROLL, M. 1992 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: WEST OF TORO CANYON ROAD ABOUT 1 MILE NORTH OF HIGHWAY 101, NORTHWEST OF CARPINTERIA.
AAAACommentsAAAAAA
Distribution:
Ecological: CHAPARRAL WITH MANY DOMINANTS INCLUDING CEANOTHUS MEGACARPUS, C. SPINOSUS, COMAROSTAPHYLIS DIVERSIFOLIA, AND CALOCTROTUS CATALINAE
Threat:
General: 50-100 PLANTS OBSERVED IN 1990-1992. SITE IS WITHIN A 90 ACRE PRIVATE PARCEL (SANTA BARBARA BOTANIC GARDEN IS CARETAKER).
Owner/Manager: PVT

AA

Occurrence No. 2 Map Index: 26516 Dates Last Seen: Lat/Long: 34°26'29" / 119°32'04" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Excellent Element: 1992-05-30 UTM: Zone-11 N3813801 E:267133 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1992-05-30 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 07 Qtr SE
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Area: 197.3 ac Elevation: 1200 ft

Main Source: CARROLL, M. 1992 (OBS)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:

Location: BETWEEN ARROYO PAREDON AND SANTA MONICA CANYON ALONG PRIVATE ROAD, ABOUT 3 MILES NNW OF CARPINTERIA.

Comments:

Distribution: MAPPED MOSTLY WITHIN THE SE 1/4 OF SECTION 7 AND THE SE 1/4 OF THE NE 1/4 OF SECTION 7.
Ecological: CHAPARRAL ON SESPE FORMATION SOILS. ASSOCIATED WITH CEANOETHUS MEGACARPUS, MALACOTHAMNUS FASCICULATUM,
CALOCHORTUS CATALINAE, TAUSCHIA HARTWEGII, AND SCUTELLARIA TUBEROSA. UP TO 75-80% COVER BY QUERCUS DUMOSA.

Threat: POSSIBLE DEVELOPMENT.
General: THOUSANDS OF PLANTS OBSERVED IN 1992.
Owner/Manager: PVT

AA

AA

Date: 05/19/2000 Padre Associates Page 16
Report: RF2WIDE Information dated 04/11/2000

AA

Occurrence No. 19 Map Index: 15135 Dates Last Seen: La/Long: 34024'15" / 119032'19" Township 04N
Occ Rank: None Element: 1956-09-21 UTM: Zone-11 N3809712 E266634 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1956-09-21 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 30 Qtr XX
Presence: Possibly Extirpated Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Radius: 1/10 mile Elevation: 10 ft

Main Source: POLLARD SN CAS (HERB)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)

County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:

Location: CARPINTERIA SALT MARSH, NORTH SIDE OF MARSH ALONG RR TRACKS NEAR JCT OF HWY 101 AND CARPINTERIA RD, WEST OF
CARPINTERIA.

Comments

Distribution: CLIFF SMITH (SBMNH) TOLD WAYNE FERREN THAT POLLARD COLLECTION CAME FROM WHERE THIS IS NOW MAPPED.

Ecological:

Threat: COMPETITION WITH INVASIVE LIMONIUM RAMOSISSIMUM THREATENS.

General: THIS LOCALITY NO LONGER SUPPORTS THE PLANT (FERREN 1981).

Owner/Manager: UNKNOWN

AA

AA

Date: 05/19/2000 Padre Associates
Report: RF2WIDE Information dated 04/11/2000

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAAA;
'CORDYLANTHUS MARITIMUS SSP MARITIMUS (cont.)
' SALT MARSH BIRD'S-BEAK AAAAAList StatusAAAAAAAANDDB Element RanksAAAAAOther ListsAAAA
' Element Code: PIDSCROJOC2 Federal: Endangered Global: G3T2 CNPS List: 1B
' State: Endangered State: S2.2 R-E-D Code: 2-2-2
AAU

Occurrence No. 20 Map Index: 15145 Dates Last Seen: Lat/Long: 34°24'04" / 119°32'02" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Unknown Element: 1984-07-19 UTM: Zone-11 N3809350 E267059 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1984-07-19 Precision: SPECIFIC Section: 30 Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Area: 4.0 ac Elevation: 10 ft

Main Source: PATMAN, J. #1148 SBBG (HERB)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary: Carpinteria Salt Marsh
Location: CARPENTERIA SALT MARSH, ALONG APPLE ROAD NEAR CENTER OF MARSH, WEST OF CARPINTERIA.

Comments:
Distribution:
Ecological: LOWER TO MID SALT MARSH ON SANDY LOAMS WITH SALICORNIA, DISTICHILIS, FRANKENIA, MONANTHOCHLOE.
Threat: INCREASED TIDAL FLOW IN 1984 CREATED WETTER CONDITIONS. COMPETITION WITH INVASIVE LIMONIUM RAMOSISSIMUM
THREATENS.
General: 300+ SEEN IN 1984.
Owner/Manager: UCLWRS-CARPINTERIA SALT MARSH

AA

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report A Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

UAAA;
* CALOCHORTUS WEEDII VAR VESTUS
' LATE-FLOWERED MARIPOSA LILY AAAAAList StatusAAAAAAAANDDB Element RanksAAAAAAOther ListsAAAAA
' Element Code: PMLL0D1J2 Federal: Species of Concern Global: G3T2 CNPS List: 1B
' State: None State: S2.2 R-E-D Code: 2-2-3
AAU

AAAAAHabitat Associations AAA
General: CHAPARRAL, CISMONTANE WOODLAND.
Micro: DRY, OPEN COASTAL WOODLAND, CHAPARRAL; ON SERPENTINE. 270-1910M.
AA

Occurrence No. 7 Map Index:27676 AADates Last SeenAA Lat/Long: 34o27'59" / 119o35'18" Township: 05N
Occ Rank: Unknown Element: 1952-07-05 UTM: Zone-11 N3816715 E262264 Range: 26W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1952-07-05 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 34 Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Area: 195.5 ac Elevation: 2000 ft
Main Source: POLLARD SN CAS #385502 (HERB)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: ROMERO CANYON ROAD, VICINITY OF SANTA BARBARA.
AAAAAACommentsAAAAAAA
Distribution: ROMERO CANYON ROAD COLLECTION MADE AT ABOUT 2000' ELEVATION. COLLECTION FROM "FOOTHILLS BACK OF MONTECITO,
JUST EAST OF ROMERO CANYON" IS ALSO ATTRIBUTED TO THIS SITE.
Ecological:
Threat:
General: SITE REPORTED FROM TWO COLLECTIONS; POLLARD SN (CAS) FROM 1952 AND PAYNE #9017 (RSA) FROM 1937.
Owner/Manager: UNKNOWN

Occurrence No. 8 Map Index:27677 AADates Last SeenAA Lat/Long: 34o25'40" / 119o30'04" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Unknown Element: 1923-07-24 UTM: Zone-11 N3812230 E270171 Range: 25W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: 1923-07-24 Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 16 Qtr E
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POLYGON Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Area: 63.5 ac Elevation: 900 ft
Main Source: GRANT, A. #1699 POM #226426 (HERB)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)*, WHITE LEDGE PEAK (3411944/141B)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: FRANKLIN CANYON TRAIL BEYOND CARPINTERIA.
AAAAAACommentsAAAAAAA
Distribution: MAPPED AS BEST GUESS AT NDDB; NEED BETTER LOCATION INFO.
Ecological: ON OPEN, DRY HILLSIDES.
Threat:
General: ONLY SOURCE OF INFORMATION FOR THIS SITE IS 1923 COLLECTION BY GRANT
Owner/Manager: UNKNOWN

California Department of Fish and Game
Natural Diversity Data Base
Full Condensed Report - Multiple Records per Page

Carpinteria Quad

THELYPTERIS PUBERULA VAR SONORENSIS
SONORAN MAIDEN FERN
Element Code: PPTHE05192
State: None
Federal: None
Global: G5T3T4
CNPS List: 2
R-E-D Code: 2-2-1
List Status
NDDB Element Ranks
Other Lists
Habitat Associations
General: MEADOWS AND SEEPS.
Micro: ALONG STREAMS, SEEPAGE AREAS. 50-550M.

Occurrence No. 8 Map Index: 28072 Dates Last Seen Lat/Long: 34°27'28" / 119°03'23" Township: 04N
Occ Rank: Unknown Element: XXXX-XX-XX UTM: Zone-11 N3815765 E262104 Range: 26W
Origin: Natural/Native occurrence Site: XXXX-XX-XX Precision: NON-SPECIFIC Section: 03 Qtr XX
Presence: Presumed Extant Symbol Type: POINT Meridian: S
Trend: Unknown Radius: 1 mile Elevation: 1500 ft

Main Source: SMITH, C. 1976 (LIT)
Quad Summary: CARPINTERIA (3411945/142A)
County Summary: SANTA BARBARA
SNA Summary:
Location: ROMERO CANYON, SANTA YNEZ MOUNTAINS.

Comments
Distribution:
Ecological:
Threat:
General: ONLY SOURCE OF INFORMATION FOR THIS OCCURRENCE IS SITE NAME LISTED IN "A FLORA OF THE SANTA BARBARA REGION, CALIF" BY SMITH (1976).
Owner/Manager: UNKNOWN